

INTRO TO CEPH OPEN SOURCE DISTRIBUTED STORAGE

Neha Ojha Project Technical Lead for RADOS 2021.11.17



INTRO TO CEPH

OPEN SOURCE DISTRIBUTED STORAGE

• What is Ceph

• and why do we care

• Ceph Architecture

- RADOS
- RGW Object
- RBD Block
- CephFS File
- Management
- Community and Ecosystem

WHAT IS CEPH?



The buzzwords

- "Software defined storage"
- "Unified storage system"
- "Scalable distributed storage"
- "The future of storage"
- "The Linux of storage"

<u>The substance</u>

- Ceph is open source **software**
- Runs on commodity hardware
 - Commodity servers
 - IP networks
 - HDDs, SSDs, NVMe, NV-DIMMs, ...
- A single cluster can serve **object**, **block**, and **file** workloads

CEPH IS FREE AND OPEN SOURCE

- Freedom to use (free as in beer)
- Freedom to introspect, modify, and share (free as in speech)
- Freedom from vendor lock-in
- Freedom to innovate



CEPH IS RELIABLE



• Reliable storage service out of unreliable components

- No single point of failure
- Data durability via replication or erasure coding
- No interruption of service from rolling upgrades, online expansion, etc.
- Favor consistency and correctness over performance





CEPH IS SCALABLE



- Ceph is elastic storage infrastructure
 - Storage cluster may grow or shrink
 - Add or remove hardware while system is online and under load
- Scale **up** with bigger, faster hardware
- Scale **out** within a single cluster for capacity and performance
- Federate multiple clusters across sites with asynchronous replication and disaster recovery capabilities



CEPH IS A UNIFIED STORAGE SYSTEM

OBJECT





BLOCK









- Reliable Autonomic Distributed Object Storage
 - \circ $\,$ Common storage layer underpinning object, block, and file services
- Provides low-level data object storage service
 - Reliable and highly available
 - \circ Scalable (on day 1 and day 1000)
 - Manages all replication and/or erasure coding, data placement, rebalancing, repair, etc.
- Strong consistency
 - CP, not AP
- Simplifies design and implementation of higher layers (file, block, object)

RADOS SOFTWARE COMPONENTS





ceph-mon

<u>Monitor</u>

- Central authority for authentication, data placement, policy
- Coordination point for all other cluster components
- Protect critical cluster state with Paxos
- 3-7 per cluster

⊀

ceph-mgr

M	а	r	۱	а	q	е	r
			-	_	-		

- Aggregates real-time metrics (throughput, disk usage, etc.)
- Host for pluggable management functions
- 1 active, 1+ standby per cluster

ceph-osd

OSD (Object Storage Daemon)

- Stores data on an HDD or SSD
- Services client IO requests
- Cooperatively peers, replicates, rebalances data
- 10s-1000s per cluster

LEGACY CLIENT/SERVER ARCHITECTURE



CLIENT/CLUSTER ARCHITECTURE





DATA PLACEMENT







LOOKUP VIA A METADATA SERVER?



CALCULATED PLACEMENT





- Get map of cluster layout (num OSDs etc) on startup
- Calculate correct object location based on its name
- Read from or write to appropriate OSD



MAP UPDATES WHEN TOPOLOGY CHANGES



- Get updated map when topology changes
 - \circ e.g., failed device; added node
- (Re)calculate correct object location
- Read from or write to appropriate OSD





RADOS DATA OBJECTS

• Name

- 10s of characters
- e.g., "rbd_header.10171e72d03d"

• Attributes

- \circ 0 to 10s of attributes
- \circ 0 to 100s of bytes each
- e.g., "version=12"

• Byte data

- \circ 0 to 10s of megabytes
- Key/value data ("omap")
 - \circ 0 to 10,000s of items
 - \circ $$ 0 to 10,000s of bytes each
- Objects live in named "pools"





? → OBJECTS → POOLS → PGs → OSDs





WHY PLACEMENT GROUPS?



REPLICATE DISKS



- Each device is mirrored
- Device sizes must match

REPLICATE PGS



- Each PG is mirrored
- PG placement is random

REPLICATE OBJECTS



- Each object is mirrored
- Object placement is random

WHY PLACEMENT GROUPS?



REPLICATE DISKS



- Need an empty spare device to recover
- Recovery bottlenecked by single disk throughput

REPLICATE PGS



- New PG replicas placed on surviving devices
- Recovery proceeds in parallel, leverages many devices, and completes sooner
- No spare needed

REPLICATE OBJECTS



• Every device participates in recovery

WHY PLACEMENT GROUPS?



REPLICATE DISKS



• Very few triple failures cause data loss (of an entire disk)

REPLICATE PGS



 Some triple failures cause data loss (of an entire PG)

REPLICATE OBJECTS



• Every triple failure causes data loss (of some objects)

PGs balance competing extremes

KEEPING DATA SAFE



"Declustered replica placement"

- More clusters
 - Faster recovery
 - More even data distribution
- Fewer clusters
 - Lower risk of concurrent failures affecting all replicas
- Placement groups a happy medium
 - \circ No need for spare devices
 - Adjustable balance between durability (in the face of concurrent failures) and recovery time

Avoiding concurrent failures

- Separate replicas across failure domains
 - Host, rack, row, datacenter
- Create a hierarchy of storage devices
 - Align hierarchy to physical infrastructure
- Express placement policy in terms hierarchy



PLACING PGs WITH CRUSH

- Pseudo-random placement algorithm
 - Repeatable, deterministic, calculation
 - Similar to "consistent hashing"
- Inputs:
 - Cluster topology (i.e., the OSD hierarchy)
 - Pool parameters (e.g., replication factor)
 - PG id
- Output: ordered list of OSDs
- Rule-based policy
 - \circ "3 replicas, different racks, only SSDs"
 - "6+2 erasure code shards, 2 per rack, different hosts, only HDDs"
- Stable mapping
 - Limited data migration on change
- Support for varying device sizes
 - OSDs get PGs proportional to their weight



pgid = hash(obj name) % pg num

many GiB of data per PG

+

PG ID

N replicas of each PG 10s of PGs per OSD



REPLICATION AND ERASURE CODING

- Each RADOS pool must be durable
- Each PG must be durable
- Replication
 - Identical copies of each PG
 - Usually 3x (200% overhead)
 - Fast recovery--read any surviving copy
 - Can vary replication factor at any time
- Erasure coding
 - Each PG "shard" has different slice of data
 - Stripe object across **k** PG shards
 - Keep addition **m** shards with per-object parity/redundancy
 - Usually more like 1.5x (50% overhead)
 - Erasure code algorithm and **k+m** parameters set when pool is created
 - \circ \quad Better for large objects that rarely change



24

SPECIALIZED POOLS



- Pools usually share devices
 - Unless a pool's CRUSH placement policy specifies a specific class of device
- Elastic, scalable provisioning
 - Deploy hardware to keep up with demand
- Uniform management of devices
 - Common "day 2" workflows to add, remove, replace devices
 - Common management of storage hardware resources



RADOS VIRTUALIZES STORAGE





PLATFORM FOR HIGH-LEVEL SERVICES





RGW: OBJECT STORAGE



RGW: RADOS GATEWAY

- S3 and Swift-compatible object storage
 - HTTPS/REST-based API
 - Often combined with load balancer to provide storage service to public internet
- Users, buckets, objects
 - Data and permissions model is based on a superset of S3 and Swift APIs
 - ACL-based permissions, enforced by RGW
- RGW objects not same as RADOS objects
 - \circ $\,$ S3 objects can be very big: GB to TB $\,$
 - \circ RGW stripes data across RADOS objects





RGW STORES ITS DATA IN RADOS



RGW ZONE: POOLS + RGW DAEMONS



RGW FEDERATION AND GEO-REP



- Zones may be different clusters and/or sites
- Global view of users and buckets

- Each bucket placed in a ZoneGroup
- Data replicated between all Zones in a ZoneGroup

OTHER RGW FEATURES

- Very strong S3 API compatibility
 - https://github.com/ceph/s3-tests functional test suite
- STS: Security Token Service
 - Framework for interoperating with other authentication/authorization systems
- Encryption (various flavors of API)
- Compression
- CORS and static website hosting
- Metadata search with ElasticSearch
- Pub/sub event stream
 - Integration with knative serverless
 - o Kafka

- Multiple storage classes
 - Map classes to RADOS pools
 - Choose storage for individual objects or set a bucket policy
- Lifecycle management
 - Bucket policy to automatically move objects between storage tiers and/or expire
 - Time-based
- Archive zone
 - $\circ \qquad \text{Archive and preserve full storage history}$





RBD: BLOCK STORAGE

RBD: RADOS BLOCK DEVICE

 \bigcirc

- Virtual block device
 - Store disk images in RADOS
 - $\circ \qquad {\sf Stripe \ data \ across \ many \ objects \ in \ a \ pool}$
- Storage decoupled from host, hypervisor
 - Analogous to AWS's EBS
- Client implemented in KVM and Linux
- Integrated with
 - Libvirt
 - OpenStack (Cinder, Nova, Glace)
 - Kubernetes
 - Proxmox, CloudStack, Nebula, ...



SNAPSHOTS AND CLONES

- Snapshots
 - Read-only
 - Associated with individual RBD image
 - Point-in-time consistency
- Clones
 - New, first-class image
 - Writeable overlay over an existing snapshot
 - \circ $\,$ Can be snapshotted, resized, renamed, etc.
- Efficient
 - O(1) creation time
 - Leverage copy-on-write support in RADOS
 - \circ Only consume space when data is changed





RBD: DATA LAYOUT





- Image name
- Image size
- Striping parameters
- Snapshot metadata (names etc.)
- Options
- Lock owner

...

- Chunk of block device content
- 4 MB by default, but striping is configurable
- Sparse: objects only created if/when data is written
- Replicated or erasure coded, depending on the pool

RBD: JOURNALING MODE





- Metadata changes

RBD MIRRORING





- Asynchronous replication by mirroring journal
- Point-in-time/crash consistent copy of image in remote cluster
- Mirrors live data and snapshots
- Full lifecycle (fail-over, fail-back, re-sync, etc.)
- Configurable per-image

DATA POOL

CLUSTER B

• Scale-out, HA for rbd-mirror

OTHER RBD FEATURES

- 'rbd top'
 - Real-time view of IO activity
- Quotas
 - Enforced at provisioning time
- Namespace isolation
 - Restrict access to a private namespace of RBD images
- Import and export
 - Full image import/export
 - Incremental diff (between snapshots)
- Trash
 - Keep deleted images around for a bit before purging

- Linux kernel client
 - 'rbd map myimage' → /dev/rbd*
- NBD
 - \circ 'rbd map -t nbd myimage' → /dev/nbd*
 - Run latest userspace library
- iSCSI gateway
 - LIO stack + userspace tools to manage gateway configuration
- librbd
 - Dynamically link with application



CEPHFS: FILE STORAGE

<u>?</u>

CEPHFS: CEPH FILE SYSTEM

- Distributed network file system
 - Files, directories, rename, hard links, etc.
 - Concurrent shared access from many clients
- Strong consistency and coherent caching
 - Updates from one node visible elsewhere, immediately
- Scale metadata and data independently
 - Storage capacity and IO throughput scale with the number of OSDs
 - Namespace (e.g., number of files) scales with the number of MDS daemons





CEPH-MDS: METADATA SERVER





ceph-mds

MDS (Metadata Server)

- Manage file system namespace
- Store file system metadata in RADOS objects
 - File and directory metadata (names, inodes)
- Coordinate file access between clients
- Manage client cache consistency, locks, leases
- Not part of the data path
- 1s 10s active, plus standbys



ceph-mon



ceph-mgr



ceph-osd

METADATA IS STORED IN RADOS





CEPHFS SNAPSHOTS

- Snapshot any directory
 - Applies to all nested files and directories
 - Granular: avoid "volume" and "subvolume" restrictions in other file systems
- Point-in-time consistent
 - \circ from perspective of POSIX API at *client*
 - *not* client/server boundary
- Easy user interface via file system
- Efficient
 - Fast creation/deletion
 - Snapshots only consume space when changes are made

```
$ cd any/cephfs/directory
$ <u>ls</u>
foo bar baz/
$ ls .snap
$ mkdir .snap/my_snapshot
$ ls .snap/
my_snapshot/
$ rm foo
$ <u>ls</u>
bar baz/
$ ls .snap/my_snapshot
foo bar baz/
$ rmdir .snap/my_snapshot
$
  ls .snap
Ś
```



OTHER CEPHFS FEATURES

- Multiple file systems (volumes) per cluster
 - Separate ceph-mds daemons
- xattrs
- File locking (flock and fcntl)
- Quotas
 - $\circ \qquad \text{On any directory} \qquad$
- Subdirectory mounts + access restrictions
- Multiple storage tiers
 - Directory subtree-based policy
 - Place files in different RADOS pools
 - Adjust file striping strategy
- Lazy IO
 - Optionally relax CephFS-enforced consistency on per-file basis for HPC applications

- Linux kernel client
 - e.g., mount -t ceph \$monip://ceph
- ceph-fuse
 - For use on non-Linux hosts (e.g., OS X) or when kernel is out of date
- NFS
 - CephFS plugin for nfs-ganesha FSAL
- CIFS
 - CephFS plugin for Samba VFS
- libcephfs
 - Dynamically link with your application



COMPLETE STORAGE PLATFORM







INTEGRATED DASHBOARD



Monitoring

- Health
- IO and capacity utilization

<u>Metrics</u>

- Prometheus
- Grafana

<u>Management</u>

- Configuration
- Provisioning
- Day 2 tasks

⊘ Ceph [☉] Dashboard Cluster - Pools Block -	NFS Filesystems Object Gateway +			English • 🛛 🗘 🗇 • 🔷 • 🛔 •						
Status				Refresh 5 s						
Cluster Status Monitors HEALTH_OK 7 (quorum 0, 1, 4, 5, 6)		2, 3, OSDs 143 total 143 up, 143 in		Manager Daemons 1 active 0 standby						
Hosts 18 total	Object Gateways 18 total 0 total		ervers 1 active 4 standby	iSCSI Gateways 0 total						
Performance										
Client IOPS 331	Client Throughput 107.5 MiB/s	Client Read/Write	Recovery Throughput	Scrub Active						
Capacity										
Pools 12	Raw Capacity Used (55%) Avail. (45%)	Objects 61759380	PGs per OSD 238.3	PG Status						

A FEW OTHER MANAGEMENT FEATURES

- Internal health monitoring
 - $\circ \quad \ \ {\rm Error \ and \ warning \ states}$
 - Alert IDs with documentation, mitigation steps, etc.
- Integrated configuration management
 - Self-documenting
 - History, rollback, etc.
- Device management
 - Map daemons to raw devices (\$vendor_\$model_\$serial)
 - Scrape device health metrics (e.g. SMART)
 - Predict device life expectancy
 - Optionally preemptively evacuate failing devices

- Telemetry
 - Phone home anonymized metrics to Ceph developers
 - Cluster size, utilization, enabled features
 - Crash reports (version + stack trace)
 - Bugs are created based on crash reports
 - Performance metrics in-progress
 - Opt-in, obviously



INSTALLATION OPTIONS

• Cephadm

- orchestration interface for installation and management using containers
- <u>https://docs.ceph.com/en/pacific/cephadm</u>
- Rook
 - Run Ceph in Kubernetes
 - <u>https://rook.io/</u>
- Other methods
 - \circ ceph-ansible
 - o DeepSea
 - Puppet
 - <u>https://docs.ceph.com/en/pacific/install/inde</u> <u>x.html#other-methods</u>





COMMUNITY AND ECOSYSTEM

OPEN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY

- Ceph is open source software!
 - Mostly LGPL2.1/LGPL3
- We collaborate via
 - GitHub: <u>https://github.com/ceph/ceph</u>
 - <u>https://tracker.ceph.com/</u>
 - E-mail: dev@ceph.io
 - #ceph-devel on irc.oftc.net
- We meet a lot over video chat
 - See schedule at <u>http://ceph.io/contribute</u>
- We publish releases periodically
- We work with downstream distributions
 - Debian, SUSE, Ubuntu, Red Hat



WE INTEGRATE WITH CLOUD ECOSYSTEMS













CEPH EVENTS



<u>Ceph Days</u>

- One-day regional event
- ~10 per year
- 50-200 people
- Normally a single track of technical talks
- Mostly user-focused

http://ceph.io/cephdays

<u>Cephalocon</u>

- Two-day global event
- Once per year, in the spring
- 300-1000 people
- Multiple tracks
- Users, developers, vendors

http://ceph.io/cephalocon

Coming up https://ceph.io/en/community/events/2022/cephalocon-portland/

CEPH FOUNDATION

- Organization of industry members supporting the Ceph project and community
- 34 members
 - \circ Vendors
 - Cloud companies
 - Major users
 - Academic and government institutions
- Event planning
- Upstream CI infrastructure
- Community hardware test lab
- Documentation

© ceph foundation





PREMIERMEMBERS



Bloomberg®











SAMSUNG











GENERAL MEMBERS





cloud system solutions





Intelligent Systems Services













ASSOCIATE MEMBERS





















SWITCH

FOR MORE INFORMATION

9

- <u>http://ceph.io/</u>
- Twitter: @ceph
- Docs: <u>http://docs.ceph.com/</u>
- Mailing lists: <u>http://lists.ceph.io/</u>
 - ceph-announce@ceph.io → announcements
 - ceph-users@ceph.io → user discussion
 - dev@ceph.io \rightarrow developer discussion
- IRC: irc.oftc.net
 - #ceph, #ceph-devel
- GitHub: <u>https://github.com/ceph/</u>
- YouTube 'Ceph' channel